

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI: United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,144 07/26/2001		07/26/2001	Maurice J. Ouellette	11ME-491	2730	
23465	7590	10/04/2002				
JOHN S. E			EXAMINER			
ONE MET	ROPOLITA	EASDALE, LLP AN SQUARE	KIM, PAUL L			
	SUITE 2600 ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2857		
				DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
· ,		09/682,144	OUELLETTE ET AL.
ſ	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Paul L Kim	2857
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f te. cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	June 2002 .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	vance except for formal matters, r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	n.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10)∐ ⊺	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.
🖚 –	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·	
11)[1	he proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.
40)[] 7	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	· ·	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·	
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been r	eceived.
Attachment(. ,	•
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/682,144

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 11-14, 16, 19-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Provost et al.

With reference to claims 1 and 2, Provost teaches a method for creating a secure program history log for a programmable device including a processor, communication port, and memory (fig. 1) that comprises the steps of: communicating parameters to the microprocessor, creating a log entry, and writing the log entry into the program history log (col. 4, lines 2-16).

With reference to claims 3 and 14, Provost teaches the log entry comprising log entry information (col. 5, lines 37-45).

With reference to claims 11-13, 16, and 20, Provost teaches an electronic electricity meter comprising a communication port (fig. 1), a microprocessor configured to receive meter input parameters (fig. 1, part 28), determine energy consumption (col. 2, lines 21-24), and create a history log when parameters are received (col. 5, lines 37-45), and a memory device connected to the processor (fig. 1, part 46) with the microprocessor configured to write the log entry into the history log (col. 4, lines 2-5).

With reference to claims 19 and 22, Provost teaches a memory for storing a history log (fig. 1, part 46) and another memory for storing input parameters (fig. 1, part 38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-10, 15, 17-18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Provost as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Lightbody. Lightbody teaches a security system for an electric meter.

With reference to claims 4, 6, 10, 15, 17, and 21, Provost does not teach the system configured so that alteration to the history log cannot be done once information is entered. Lightbody teaches the use of a security code to prevent unauthorized users from modifying data (col. 2, lines 60-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify Provost, so that alteration to log data cannot be done, as taught by Lightbody, in order to prevent theft of electricity service.

With reference to claims 5 and 8, Provost does not teach overwriting the oldest log entry with a new log entry when the history log is full. The examiner takes official notice that replacing entries in a log file is well known in the art. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to overwrite old log data with new data in order to make use of small memory capacities.

With reference to claims 7 and 9, Provost does not teach a first computer connected externally to the programmable device. Lightbody teaches a computer coupled externally to the device that can communicate, execute, read, and store programs (fig. 1, part 74). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify Provost, so that data entries could be read outside the device, as taught by Lightbody, in order to have a device that can collect records of more than one electric meter.

With reference to claim 18, Provost teaches the log entry comprising log entry information (col. 5, lines 37-45).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 18, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Provost does not teach a program history log of the disclosed invention of claims 1, 12, 16, and 20. The applicant's attention is directed to column 5, lines 37-45. The load profile of Provost teaches recording the time and date of power failures.

The applicant also argues that Lightbody does not teach the program history log of claims 4, 15, 17, 18, and 21. The applicant's attention is directed to column 14, lines 53-61. Lightbody teaches an event-recording log for recording time and data of when the electricity meter has been accessed.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,144

Art Unit: 2857

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6. applicant's disclosure. Griffin et al teaches an electricity meter that generates calendar/clock information in recording energy consumption.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-7468.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 09/682,144

Art Unit: 2857

Page 6

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PK

September 29, 2002

MARCS HOFE

SUPERVISORY PATENT TANNER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800